

November 30, 2020

President Donald Trump
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC, 20500

Re: Address to the nation and call for a second general election - a retake of the November 3rd election

Dear Mr. President,

In your interview broadcast on Fox News, Sunday, November 29, you made an A+ case for the need to give the people in this nation that Abraham Lincoln properly called a nation “of, by and for the people” and opportunity to cast their vote, but this time cast it in a completely transparent, open and truthful voting process.

The world is watching and as the leader of the free world, you have a duty to see that we get it right.

The purpose of this letter therefore is to respectfully suggest that you order such an election by **EXECUTIVE ORDER** to be held on January 5th upcoming; paper ballots only, no computers, no mail-in ballots (sole exception U.S. military overseas) and all paper ballots to be counted here in the United States.

Yes, our forefathers envisioned that the states would set up their own system for voting in each and every state and they left it up to every state to do this but they never would have permitted the states to decide to load the paper ballots on a sailing ship and send them to a foreign country to be counted as was done in the last election with Dominion software.

There comes a point where federal oversight is needed, most especially when political machines, decide that it is their duty to decide for the people whom their leaders should be and arrange for that result.

This remains a government of, by and for the people. To challenge fraud is not to destroy the democratic process but to protect and preserve it.

Adults are simply grown up children. (The poet said it best “The Child is father of the Man; And I could wish my days to be, bound each to each in natural piety.”) We all remember back to when we were children in school. The teacher would come in the day after we all had taken a test and announce that as she was going over the test papers, she suspected there had been cheating. She then announced her decision: the test we had just taken would not count and she would be giving a new test the following week and asking a couple of the hall monitors to come in and pass out the test papers and remain in the classroom just to keep an eye on things while we

were taking the test. No one could object to the fairness of the teacher's decision. Those who protested, protested too loudly, confirming the teacher's suspicions and singling themselves out.

What has happened in this last election has prayerfully never happened in the history of the nation before. The farmers have an expression "It stinks like an outhouse struck by lightning in July." The only remedy and the remedy that will give whomever comes out on top the mandate necessary to lead the nation is to borrow a page from Humphrey Bogart's book in the movie *Casablanca* – "Play it again, Sam."

To carry forward the analogy of the teacher in those iconic words in the movie *Casablanca* (Golly, Lauren Bacall was beautiful in that movie - and we want a beautiful, truthful election next time around and we need to set in a retake of the national election for January 5 to correspond with the Georgia special election for senators).

By **EXECUTIVE ORDER** you would also specify (after checking with your generals) that no less than 2 members of the U.S. military be present at each voting place as poll watchers. You said it right "poll watchers are sacrosanct in our nation." Next time around, just let them try to throw the poll watchers out, look the door and tell them to get some binoculars. Unbelievable!

Just let them tell that little lady so proud that you spoke of, looking forward to casting her vote November 3rd. Just let them tell her on January 5th that she already voted and try to turn her away. Yes, just let them try and do that. The poll watchers will say "Right this way, mam, let us give you a paper ballot and when you are finished, some of the men out front with the chuck wagon we brought along will be happy to give you a cup of hot coffee or hot chocolate as you please.

Gone will be cigar filled back rooms of the counting houses. Gone will be the pressing of one button for Trump and it recording a vote for Biden. Gone will be the Dominion software that is so crooked you could drop it in a barrel of pretzels and it would come out the bottom having not touched once. Unbelievable!

On the weekend of November 14th over a million people came to Washington in support of their president. It was a peaceful trip. They brought with them their families. The children placed their hands over their hearts as *God Bless America* was sung. They assembled in Liberty Square. The mass of people topped anything ever seen in the nation's capital before. They walked peacefully to the steps of the Supreme Court. Where else could they go? Not the White House, you were as upset about it as they were. Not the Congress, they can barely agree on the time of day. All that was left was the 3rd branch of government, the Supreme Court – they walked there essentially to lay their hearts symbolically at the foot of the justices. They were saying in so many words "Something is rotten in Denmark about this election." They were looking to the court to do something about it. Perhaps Amy Coney Barrett as a single justice (she would have that power in an emergency petition to set in a new election) would strike the results of the last election in the six states most suspect of rampant cheating and set in a new election January 5, put in place federal marshals and/or homeland security people as poll watchers and provide no mail-in ballots – paper ballots only, no computers, no off shore counting of American votes.

Mr. President, I filed such an emergency petition with Justice Amy Coney Barrett, citing my standing as a taxpayer to do so. As of this writing, I have heard nothing. As a sole practitioner and a country lawyer, she would not have heard of me. Even if I were Clarence Darrow, my

wife points out that she may be hesitant to do such a thing alone, given the mood of the country where if they don't like something, they throw a brick through your window. I had to stop and think about what my wife said "She has a family to be concerned about."

My thoughts turn back to you Mr. President. You are surrounded by the secret service and no bricks will be thrown at the White House windows (at least not on your watch!) Therefore, may I respectfully suggest the following (realizing that much of your staff will declare that this country lawyer is absolutely out of his mind and this is the craziest thing they have ever heard of).

I respectfully proffer to them that I once suggested to President Carter that he cut off our nation's grain exports to Russia in response to Russia's invasion of Afghanistan and they would back out. He did and they did, but that is a long story and I won't bore you with it. Having flexed the muscle of America's grain, we did discover its clout and I have a letter from Ed Meese written after Reagan took office that they were going to use it in diplomacy. I know that Reagan did use it in his efforts of détente – again another long story.

But back to the matter at hand. If this letter makes it past those who refuse to think outside the box and is placed in your hands by those who realize that we must keep the leadership that Providence has given us in the White House for the next four years (Good Heavens, everybody should be thankful that you are willing to be impeached for another four years. Ha!)

So short and sweet, here it is: Ask for air time and address the nation from the Oval Office in the evening at the usual 9 pm. All the nation will be watching, and keep in mind that the Supreme Court will be watching too. You are about to make your case before the Supreme Court. After your address, you are soon going before the Court.

Now I am not a speech writer (you have some A+ speech writers) but I would respectfully suggest that you make your own case (you do that best in this particular instance) and I can picture the beginning with the story you could tell of that little lady that came to vote. She had been waiting for November 3rd and she was told that she had already voted. Begin your address to the nation with that and end it with that.

Next, I can picture you giving a condensed version of the summary you gave in your half hour interview on Fox News this past Sunday, November 29th. No speech writer could improve on that or duplicate it. I would suggest the strong points to not leave out such as ballots that are checked for president only, they panicked in a hurry, high speed Photostat machines were burnt up working overtime, suspending election counts in the middle of the night, ballots all for one man (Biden) showing up in the middle of the night at the doors of the counting houses.

The computer software failed them here. It evened things out mathematically but the software doesn't think like human beings. Human beings are suspect if you have 100.000 ballots all for the same man and not one or two or three for the president. Oliver Wendell Holmes said it best "Certain circumstantial evidence is overwhelming as when a small trout is found in the milk." The people are realizing that somebody watered down the milk to increase their profit. The mathematical probability of such a thing happening is greater than the probability that allows DNA to be introduced in a criminal case to secure a murder conviction.

You have excellent people on your staff (choose not only the professional speech writer – ask a little secretary out there sitting at one of those desks whose opinion is never asked. Have her listen to a tape of your interview on Fox and tell you what she takes away from it – what she thinks are the most important parts that her mother and father and grandmother and grandfather at home would be talking about around the dinner table.

Then wind up with just the facts (perhaps give them an example of what teachers do when there is cheating on an exam) and tell them you have tried to bring your case to the Court but your lawyers are advising you that its not easy to get to the Supreme Court. Good Heavens, by the time an ordinary case would reach the Supreme Court, given all the appeals in the Appellate stops below) Biden would be halfway through a term of office and the nation would be in a nosedive.

Then wind up with the story about the little lady who wanted to vote. Announce that enough about this election has reached your ears (and the ears of the world) that you will be ordering another election **BY EXECUTIVE ORDER** and outline safeguards that your **executive order** will put in place such as the presence of the U.S. military as poll watchers throughout the nation this time around; no mail-in ballots (the 800,000 that have been collected in Georgia they can put in the trash can). You are setting an example for the nation and the world about how an election can be and should be held.

Now, let's switch to what happens when you have gone off the air. There will be some jumping up and down in the homes across the nation. The million MAGA march that came to Washington on November 14th will be jumping up and down for joy knowing that the truth is finally going to come out. The votes for you are going to so flood the results that Biden and company are going to be like the cat that swallowed the canary.

In other homes in the country, there will be jumping up and down. This time in anger – such as Pelosi and Schumer's homes. But Pelosi can't tell you that you can't do what you just did. Schumer can't tell you that you can't do what you just did. All the democrats in the House of Representatives and Senate put together can't tell you that you can't do what you just did. The only one who can tell you that you can't do what you just did is the Supreme Court of the United States.

You've just made your argument before them. They don't have to let it be known that they heard it but they heard it. There is no longer a need for you to find 'standing' to get to the Court. Pelosi, Schumer and company will be filing suit to set aside your executive order. Let them run the gauntlet and figure out how to get it from federal court to the federal court of appeals to the Supreme Court before January 5.

May I respectfully proffer that the question will be "Is it within the constitutional power of the president of the United States (given the egregious, fraudulent circumstances surrounding this past election) to by **EXECUTIVE ORDER** set a date for a re-election and put in place safeguards for transparency and an honest, truthful vote? I would be happy to make that argument myself. As a member of the Supreme Court bar, I conceivably could, but there are much more qualified constitutional lawyers than I.

It is necessary, however, that they feel the justice of the case in their heart, mind and soul if they are going to reach the heart and souls of the jurists.

The great secret of all judges, including Supreme Court judges, is that they first decide how they want a case to come out (their heart is making this decision – truth and ultimate law are written upon the heart of man by our Creator) then the judge looks around for the law to do it (and puts his law clerks to work doing the same).

If asked over the back fence why they ruled thus and so in a Supreme Court case, they defensively say “I had no choice. The law made me do it.” When they know darn well that they made the law do it. That’s all of the law. Whenever there is a hot potato that they don’t want to be made to catch – you guessed it, there is always a problem of “standing” and they say that the litigant doesn’t have standing.

Another great secret of the law (my professors told us back in law school) is always remember, the law is what the judge says it is today. That is especially true with the Supreme Court. To heck with all the past cases handed down, when you’ve got a mess like this last election and you have a U.S. president who wants to come to the Supreme Court and get this thing straightened out.

But now you have upset their apple cart. You set in another election by **executive order**. Seems like a reasonable thing to do. Who could object to it? Here comes Pelosi and company and all the democrats in pursuit shouting “Full steam ahead, on to the Supreme Court.” Bingo, you’ve landed in the Supreme Court without filing a piece of paper yourself to get there (unless you consider your **executive order** as that). They come to the Supreme Court for you.

Why does this remind me of the story of Haman’s scaffold in the Bible? My Sunday school teacher used to point out that it was an example of how even God has a sense of humor. Haman built a scaffold for hanging his enemy on and he wound up being hung on it himself. Now the case comes before the Supreme Court. Here they sit, all prim and proper, mouse trapped whether they like it or not, trying to decide between holding an fraudulent election or allowing a whistle clean, truthful election to go forward. There are a million people back outside the window waiting for the results and they are thinking to themselves “How did this happen to us?” They just wanted to be Supreme Court judges. They just wanted to decide major issues (if giving the woman the right, if she so chose, to kill the preborn child within her in 1973 was not a major issue, what is?) Oh, but they just want to distance themselves from that. That is too controversial. But, here they sit. What are they going to do? It’s humorous, really.

At the risk of boring you, let me call upon one other analogy. It involves the iconic Christmas movie, *Miracle on 34th Street*. At the end of the movie when all of the bags of mail are dumped on the judge’s bench – letters from children throughout the nation addressed to Santa Claus – and the judge is called upon to rule whether or not there is a Santa Claus – just prior to this, there is a scene that takes place in the privacy of the judge’s chambers. No one is there but the judge (and I don’t know his actual name – to me he is Fred Murtz, the husband of Ethel Murtz in the *I Love Lucy* series). He is sitting there with his cigar, counseling the judge, apparently having done so at the judge’s last election and he says to him “You just go out there and rule that there is no Santa Claus. Yes, you just do that, and we will see what happens at the next election.” (State judges have to run for election. Supreme Court judges never do.) He takes a puff on his cigar and confidently sits back in his chair.

Of course, we know what Paul Harvey would call the ‘rest of the story’. The judge goes out and rules that since these letters came through the U.S. post office and since the U.S. post office is an official government agency – he goes on and on and he winds up ruling that there is a Santa Claus.

Back to the case at hand. Here sit the judges on the bench. They are going to retire to discuss their ruling and they better come up with it quick because there is another MAGA million outside their window that has come in from all over the country (yes, Antifa is hiding out like they did the last time calling those who bought their plane tickets and saying “Boss, you don’t understand. We are outnumbered. We don’t dare go in there and even take a knee when they sing *God Bless America*. We will just hide out and maybe cause some trouble after this court rules and all these people start to disperse late at night.”) So, here sits the Court. Maybe, in the back of their mind, they will be replaying that scene in the judge’s chambers. Yes, they just emerged from their conference room. Just let them come out and rule that the president has exceeded his constitutional powers to, by executive order, set in a retake of the election. Yes, just let them rule that way. Let them rule that the people are stuck with a fraudulent election and they need to ‘like it or lump it’. Just let them rule that way. If they do so, there goes the credibility and respect for the Supreme Court. You might as well turn it into an art museum like they took the palace of the kings in France and turned it into what we know today as the Louvre. Why is it I sense a 9-0 decision in your favor?

Thank you, Mr. President, for making America great again and God bless you as you seek to do so for the next four years. The landslide that you rightfully and truthfully received will be recorded on January 5th. The democrats can stay home if they want to but they will not be able to dispute the fact that just like the teacher in school, this time there is going to be a retake of the exam, but with hall monitors, we are going to get a truthful result.

Yours very sincerely and respectfully,

R. Martin Palmer

RMP/dls

P.S. Please excuse the length of this letter. I am reminded of the words of Ralph Waldo Emerson who once closed a long letter to friend by saying “I apologize for the length of this letter. If I had more time, it would have been shorter.”

Time is of the essence, Mr. President. I will try to get this letter to you today, although it will only be through the good auspices of people like Derek Lyons or others who may be willing to get it to you, should you wish to go with the suggestion and call for air time and make the announcement before the rally in Georgia this coming Saturday.

P.S.S. The members of your staff who might be warming up to the idea but would be wondering about the person who suggested it, enclosed is a little memorandum that I had my secretary put together to go with this (it is too long to be part of the letter itself) outlining some

things that perhaps I was able to do in the course of my last 43 years of law practice here in Hagerstown, Maryland (we are at the base of Camp David) that perhaps enured to the benefit of the country – little sparks of light to make America great again. Your power in setting in another election will be the lamp on the hill that Reagan referred to, this time setting the example of an honest election for all the world to see – Reagan spoke of America as being “the last best hope of man, that light on the hill for all the world to see.” Let’s make it a lighthouse of truth, Mr. President.