TEMPLE OF THE GODS THE COURT BRINGS JUDGMENT UPON THE NATION



LOOKS LIKE A GREEK TEMPLE OUT OF BIBLE TIMES. BUILT IN 1930, IT HAS BECOME THE "PALACE OF THE KINGS".

U.S. SUPREME COURT

TEMPLE OF THE GODS THE COURT BRINGS JUDGMENT UPON THE NATION

NAAPC

National Association for the Advancement of Preborn Children

21 Summit Avenue Hagerstown, MD 21740

09/11/2020



Additional copies of this publication available for download at no charge at **www.naapc.org**.

Preface

As a lawyer admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court since 1977 (4 years after Roe v. Wade), I have filed my share of petitions before the high court and studied the court.

Conservative presidents have sometimes appointed conservative justices to the court who later surprised us all by falling into the orbit of and voting with the liberal wing of the court. Why?

The court was never meant to have the power they possess today. President George W. Bush was often heard to say "The Supreme Court needs to stop legislating." (Article 1, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution – the sole power to legislate is in the Congress).

It all began back in 1803 (Thomas Jefferson was president) with a little case at the time called 'Marbury v. Madison' in which Chief Justice John Marshall (a distant cousin of Jefferson who was jealous of him) hatched the devilish mischief of creating for his court a power to 'interpret' the Constitution. There was no provision for any such thing in the Constitution – Justice Scalia railed against this his entire time on the bench up until his death just before which he wrote a stinging dissent to the homosexual marriage decision of the court.

Just as a child starts a snowball by scooping snow together between his hands then turns it on the ground to create an increasingly larger snowball, Jefferson could foresee that the idea of interpreting the Constitution would snowball and he had this to say about it:

"The Constitution...is a mere thing of wax in the hands of the judiciary which they may twist and shape into any form they please. It has long been my opinion, and I have never shrunk from its expression...that the germ of dissolution of our federal government is in the constitution of the federal Judiciary; working like gravity by night and by day, gaining a little today and a little tomorrow and advancing its noiseless step like a thief, over the field of jurisprudence, until all shall be usurped." (Letter from Thomas Jefferson to C. Hammond, 18 August 1821)

"It is a very dangerous doctrine to consider the judges as the ultimate arbiters of all constitutional questions. It is one which

would place us under the despotism of an oligarchy (rule by a few)." (Letter to W.C. Jarvis 1820)

This brings us to the present when we see Jefferson's prediction fulfilled. Not satisfied to apply law, the Supreme Court wants to make law. Not satisfied to respect and uphold the plain meaning of the Constitution, liberal judges want to rewrite the Constitution to suit themselves.

The 'balance of power' between the three branches of government (executive, legislative and judicial) is upset with the Supreme Court lording it over the other branches.

Take the *Defense of Marriage Act* (marriage was to remain between one man and one woman) passed by the Congress (duly elected representatives of 328 million people). Should a majority of nine unelected men and women be permitted to overturn the will of 328 million people?

Stop and consider what happened. *The Defense of Marriage Act*, once passed by Congress, was signed by President Clinton (we all remember from high school civics class that a law passed by the Congress and signed by the President becomes the law of the land – he alone has 'veto' power).

Why couldn't the Supreme Court simply say so? (A ninth grade civics student could have given them the answer) Some members of the liberal wing of the court didn't like the law and got out their interpretation glasses (something like the 3D glasses we wore to watch 3D movies years ago) and decided that the Constitution forbade what the people's Congress had passed and President Clinton had agreed with and signed (and he signed it as a liberal democratic president). If living, what would Thomas Jefferson have to say about all this today?

More of Thomas Jefferson's wisdom, which is much greater than my own, is found within the pages of this little book. It is amazing how everything he said would happen has come true!

R. Martin Palmer Attorney at Law Member of the United States Supreme Court Bar Since October 1977

GRAVE SIN CAN LEAD TO MASS GRAVES: PANDEMIC TRUMPS POLITICS A BIBLICALLY BASED SOLUTION

GOD IS THE SAME YESTERDAY, TODAY AND FOREVER.

God loved the ancient Israelites and yet, like a loving father, He punished them for their sin. In the Holy Scripture, we learn that God **sent** a **Great Plague** to punish the Israelites for worshiping a golden calf as Moses descended Mt. Sinai with the Ten Commandments tablets which he (Moses) threw to the ground and broke in his anger. (Exodus 32:35). Could it be that God has again **sent** a **great plague** to punish for sin (of our nation and other nations of the world)? We would be hard pressed to deny it. God hates sin, but loves the sinner. Our heavenly Father, like an earthly father, sometimes punishes His children because He loves them.

THERE ARE NO ATHEISTS IN FOXHOLES.

The president has said we are at war against the coronavirus. The greatest battles are fought, not on the battlefield, but in the human soul. God and the devil are at war for the soul of this nation. The devil has drawn his sword against God's moral Law and God's 10 Commandments given to Moses. Satan, who first entered into Judas to betray Christ, entered into Supreme Court Justice Blackmun and his followers on the court to persuade them to take up their pen against the sixth Commandment "Thou Shalt Not Kill" by telling the lie that the Constitution guaranteed a woman's right, should she elect to do so, to kill the preborn child within her, her nearest neighbor.

The culture of death's argument for abortion is something that played well on the liberal cocktail circuit in normal times but not when staring down the barrel of a pandemic. Liberal Supreme Court judges got a pat on the back at the cocktail parties sponsored by their liberal friends, but now the Washington cocktail circuit is closed down due to covid 19.

There are no atheists in foxholes and the liberals are in foxholes together with the conservatives right now when it comes to fighting this plague.

Curiously, this plague of biblical proportions broke upon the U.S. following the sexually suggestive half time show at the 2020 Super Bowl seen by millions. God looked down and saw all the young boys seated next to their fathers in that stadium watching that. And young girls thinking that was how they were supposed to dance and act. God said to Himself, "Super Bowl is canceled for next year!" And guess what? It will be!

We long for things to get back to 'normal'. Has it not occurred to us that the God in whom we trust (our national motto is "In God We Trust") wants His own "normal" (really, a very "old normal") - the normal of our parent's and grandparent's generations that put God and His Laws and Commandments first! The normal of the generations that have gone before us that had blue laws respecting the 4th Commandment to honor the Sabbath to keep it holy (only essential work was allowed on Sunday – police, firemen, etc. Pharmacies were open till noon; all other stores, malls, bars and even grocery stores were closed on Sunday).

When I was attending college, I worked at Safeway food stores. Not only our grocery store, but every other grocery store in the state of Maryland was closed on Sunday. The last thing we did on Saturday night before locking the front door of the store and heading home was to take down the "weekly specials" paper signs that had been taped to the front windows and substitute them with a large paper sign (seems like they were about 6 or 8 feet square) that read "Attend the church of your choice on Sunday".

The 4th Commandment states:

"Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labor, and do all thy work: But the seventh day is the Sabbath of the LORD thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates: For in six days the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the LORD blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it. (Exodus 20:8-11)"

Then along came the merchants lobbying to rescind the blue laws; wanting to reopen the grocery stores and car washes and malls and liquor stores on Sunday.

What's happened to us as a nation? Why is God no longer smiling down upon us as He did when President Eisenhower signed the legislation making "In God We Trust" our national motto in 1956? The Second World War had ended a decade before. We were thankful to God that the Nazi flag was not flying over the White House.

We did it before. Are we willing to do it again and live with respect for God-given morality and reverence for His Laws and His Commandments? Standing in the way is our continued willingness to be dictated to by an unelected majority of the U.S. Supreme Court.

Look what happened in New York. The Empire State Building was previously lit in pink lights in 2017 to celebrate the enactment by the New York State Legislature of the most extreme state abortion law in the nation. When the pandemic hit, the Empire State Building emptied out. The streets of the city below became deserted. Tent hospitals were set up on hospital lawns to handle the overflow of the sick and the dying and refrigerated trucks backed up to the basement of hospitals to handle the overflow of corpses from the morgues. Governor Cuomo declared too late: "Human life is sacred! In New York, we value human life. I need 30,000 ventilators."

How about your state? How about your city or town? How about your home? Are you satisfied to sit back and assume that God's anger will cool before our own hospitals need refrigerated trucks parked outside to receive us or our loved ones? Do we dare think that we are so righteous that the pandemic will pass us by?

TIME TO WAKE UP AMERICA!

We have allowed insidious devilish mischief to wash over our land like an overflowing sewer. **God hates the sin but loves the sinner.** Some in our nation are demanding that He do both: love the sin and the sinner. Let's take an example - God tells us in his Holy Word:

"Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: It is **abomination**." (Leviticus 18:22)

IS GOD GUILTY OF HATE SPEECH? WHO WILL ACCUSE HIM? BEFORE WHICH COURT WILL YOU TRY HIM? WHO WILL BE THE JUDGE? IF CONVICTED, WHAT JAIL DO YOU HAVE THAT CAN **HOLD HIM?** Those that rebel against God and shake their fist in His face have demanded the altars of His churches for their homosexual marriages. They have demanded that pastors, priests and rabbis perform homosexual unions.

Seemingly, God walked out of the churches, reached over and hit the light switch on His way out and locked the door. The churches were closed.

If we want the key to our churches back again, and be able to sit next to our neighbors in the pews, seemingly we need to close the politically-correct playbook (LGBT and all this other rank, immoral foolishness that denigrates God's creation of man in His image and separates them from Him). **God** hates the sin, but loves the sinner. Those reveling in the pleasures of their bodies demand the church altars to make it appear that God blesses their abomination. They refuse to give up their rank pleasures.

The few always make it bad for the many. This has been true ever since we were in elementary school. A couple of kids acted up and the whole class had recess canceled by the teacher. The Teacher here is our Heavenly Father and when it becomes necessary for Him to use a plague to teach (as He did with the Israelites, His chosen people, 3000 years ago) all of humanity is at risk. The good and the bad may equally die. We die in our sin of sitting idly by, afraid to speak out, letting our houses of prayer be defiled and their altars denigrated.

Yes, America, it is time to toss the politically-correct playbook on the fire and open and hold to our heart again a **VERY OLD BOOK**. And if you truly believe that God is the same yesterday, today and forever, read Exodus the 32nd chapter and let your eyes fall upon Exodus 32:35. Say a prayer of forgiveness for our own nation. For we are told that **the Lord sent a GREAT plague because the people had committed a great sin.** Has He softened on sin? We have even legalized sin in our legislative assemblies, pretending to change God's Laws and Commandments. It is as though we assume God is dead. The old scribbling on the high school restroom wall comes to mind:

"God is dead." - Nietzsche "Nietzsche is dead." - God Compare the sin He sent the plague for in Moses' day with the sins of our nation today. Which do you deem to be greater? What can we expect? What do we find ourselves in the middle of? A great plague!

We bring together the best scientific minds to advise us. If they were truly honest, they would admit that this current plague is **beyond their art.** They are only full of guesswork trying to sound knowledgeable as to when it will "peak". They haven't the slightest idea about a second wave of the tsunami of this plague or whether they will be able to develop a vaccine or not. They sound so knowledgeable indicating that they can figure out whether those who have had it and recovered can get it again or not. They can't even figure that out.

This plague behaves differently and it mutates, playing hide and seek with our scientists. People have had it and recovered. Some of them get it again and some do not. The researchers don't know why and they can't tell the difference between the two. But a truly great scientist or physician will tell you it's beyond their art. They will tell you that there are certain things you simply have to leave in God's hands – pray that He will end the plague. We should not seek to end it ourselves apart from Him with science as our god. Science only creates in us again a childlike wonder at God's creation – infinitely complex beyond man's ability to even fully comprehend.

There are many otherwise very competent, learned and sincere scientists and physicians caught up in human pride (and we all have our share). They get out their Ouija boards and try to make knowledgeable predictions and every time, they miss it by a country mile. They come up with a bunch of excuses to salvage their credibility. They all have a "plan" on how to handle it. What's the old saying? "If you want to see God laugh, just tell Him your plans."

Don't we have enough sense to realize that our all loving but demanding Heavenly Father has sent us to our room? We are not to come out until He says so. But being the rebellious, stiff- necked, defiant children that we are, we are determined to open the door to our room (reopen social events) ahead of time and sneak out.

We refuse to learn the lesson we have been sent to our rooms to learn. We decline to turn back to God. What can we expect?

A GREAT REVIVAL IS NEEDED IN THIS NATION

Curiously, people are wearing masks to cover their mouths. The time has come to shut their mouths and let God do the talking through the pages of His Holy Word, the Bible. Everyone has a copy – or can readily get one.

Remember there was a time when God wiped everyone off the face of the earth except Noah and his family. He used Noah's family to start the human race over (in modern day computer lingo we would say 'reboot' the human race). If 60,000,000 preborn children were expendable to us, taught to be expendable by our Supreme Court and those in the nation that have applauded the false teachings of their court, do we really think God would view any of us as "essential" and "un-expendable"? **Pray for a great revival in America that will lead the world in revival.** Pray that our people and their representatives will stand up for God again. Otherwise, why does He need any of us anymore than He needed all the rebellious souls in Noah's day? Are you and I so special? I think not!

The Bible teaches an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth. Are we prepared for 60,000,000 of our own lives to be weighed in the balance to equal the lives of 60,000,000 preborn children, each of whom God knew from the moment of their conception, and He delighted in the special talents He gave each one – some in answer to our prayers: a scientist to find a cure for cancer; a statesman to lead us; a Walt Disney to entertain children; a Mozart; a John Paul II; an Einstein to neutralize nuclear weapons with laser defenses. We must pray the prayer of 2 Chronicles 7:14 individually and corporately as a nation.

Seven unelected Supreme Court judges ('political appointees' who are neither elected nor made to stand for reelection by the people) went against the will of the people (the legislature of every state in 1973, criminalized abortion as a felony - respecting the great Commandment *Thou Shalt Not Kill*).

They convened themselves as a super-legislature which they were neither permitted nor empowered to do under the Constitution (Article 1, Section 1 provides that sole legislative authority is in the Congress) and began the

holocaust of 60 million preborn children and counting – a grave sin and a hideous blot upon our nation that has surely reached high heaven!

A former deputy clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court told me that at the time of the Roe v. Wade decision, they received literally mailbags of mail protesting it written to the court. He was upset over the fact that the judges did not see one of the letters. He said they were told to put the mailbags in the basement of the court.

But the souls of these preborn precious little ones continue to cry out to God against our nation!

THE GREAT SECRET OF SUPREME COURT JUDGES

How were a mere 7 judges (with the devil himself holding the pen of Justice Blackmun who wrote the majority opinion in Roe v. Wade) able to do something like this?

The truth of the law is that all judges, including U.S. Supreme Court judges, first decide how they want a case to come out (their own personal prejudices and predilections). Then they look around for the law to do it and set their law clerks to researching to accomplish the same end. Then they write a court opinion (and in truth it's only their opinion) and this becomes "case law" that the nation is supposed to follow. If they are asked over the back fence by a neighbor why they ruled thus and so in a particular case, they respond "I had no choice. The law made me do it." when they know darn well that **they made the law do it.**

Let's take just one Supreme Court case to prove that judges make things up to get their way. Remember back to the Bush v. Gore election and the dimpled chad in Florida; the recount of the Florida vote; holding up the ballots with the dimpled chad to the light?

The Supreme Court got involved and pulled the case right upstairs. All nine judges began a laborious, deep research of the Constitution and the law. Ha! Not really. They did what I just told you above. They went to work making the case come out the way **they** wanted it to. When they came up for air, we all remember the result. Vice President Gore lost and Governor Bush of Texas won as our next US president.

But now let's take a long, hard look at that Supreme Court case. The decision was a 5-4 decision. Four of the judges, after long and deep contemplation of the law and the Constitution, concluded "There is no doubt about it. Vice President Gore should be the next president of the United States."

Curiously, each of those 4 judges voted the same way in the case as they could have been expected to have voted in the voting booth that year when they closed the curtain behind them. The four Democrats went with Gore in their constitutional reasoning (interpretation). The brilliant Justice Scalia (President Bush should have elevated him to Chief Justice when Chief Justice Rehnquist died) was famous for saying that he believed the Constitution was plain in its meaning the way it was written. He saw no need to tamper with the words to try to stretch them like a child's bubble gum until you could get them to mean what you wished they meant (interpretation).

Five judges on the court, after equally long, laborious and deep contemplation of the law and the Constitution, concluded: "There is no doubt about it. The law and the Constitution forces us to conclude that Governor Bush be the next president of the United States." They voted the same way in the case that they could have been expected to vote at the polls – 5 republicans.

It's all silly business, folks. They use some elaborate words, Latin phrases and mumbo jumbo to throw wheat bran in the faces of the populace and them it is snowing. They have done so from the beginning. Law is not an exact science like mathematics. They succeed in putting one over on the populace. They are dressed up like peacocks. *Pride, above all others, the most dangerous fault, proceeds from want of sense or want of thought.* Where misplaced pride is, the devil is not far behind – think of "pride" as the devil's shadow. Our shadow is often much bigger than we are.

Following the Bush v. Gore case in the U.S. Supreme Court, I made the above observation in our monthly newsletter. Father Frank Pavone of *Priests for Life* called me and asked permission to print that letter on their website. Father Pavone began as a 17 year old marching in the March for Life one year, and has become a stalwart in what Dr. Lejeune called "the good cause of children yet to be."

PALACES FOR JUDGES LEADS TO "KINGLY" EGOS

President Taft (the only U.S. president to be both chief judge of the U.S. Supreme Court and president of the United States in his lifetime – he said he did the presidency to please his wife; he liked the court) lobbied the Congress to appropriate the money to build the Supreme Court its own building (had our forefathers overlooked it or was their wisdom greater than our own? Egos expand to fill the nature and size of the space granted to them. Give a seaman second class an admiral's uniform and the admiral's own quarters and see what happens.)

Taft served as U.S. President (1909-1913). In 1921, President William G. Harding appointed Taft chief justice of the United States. Taft regarded the appointment as the greatest honor of his life.

When Taft was appointed chief justice, the Supreme Court met in the Old Senate Chamber in the U.S. Capitol Building (they had started out in borrowed space in a converted file room beneath the Old Senate Chamber).

Taft wanted something more glorious than the Old Senate Chamber above the file room for what was now to be his court. He lobbied Congress to appropriate money to build the present Supreme Court building across the street. Construction began in 1930 and Taft died on March 8th of that same year, age 73. He never got to enjoy his new 'temple of the gods'. He never presided behind the gargantuan white marble columns as the chief judge (perhaps having hoped to do so a ripe old age).

Taft achieved passage of the judiciary act. This law gave the courts greater control over the number and kinds of cases it would consider.

A number of years ago when I was at the court, they had a large display in the lobby under glass of the architect's original model of the Court and a photograph of President Taft and others admiring the model. Where are the social psychologists? What can they tell us? Do human egos expand to fill the space allotted to them? Or is it the grandeur of the space? When a Supreme Court Justice dies, they lie in state in the statutory hallway of their own building. During these 'covid-19 times', Justice Ginsberg was moved outside under the front portico of the Supreme Court, high up under the vaulting white Carrera marble Corinthian columns of the court, where President Trump came to pay his respects on September 24th.

The Washington Post newspaper once reported that Justice Blackmun, who authored Roe v. Wade, while addressing his daughter's graduating class at Hood College in Frederick, Maryland, said that he often liked to enter the Supreme Court building by means of the front steps to take in its beauty. This was perhaps a 'Freudian Slip'. The building itself was a 'power trip' to Justice Blackmun.

Much to be preferred is the original Supreme Court chamber, as humble as it is, in a borrowed file room on the ground level of the U.S. Capitol building. This room where the Supreme Court originally held court was directly beneath the floor of the old Senate Chamber. Daniel Webster argued cases in this small courtroom. The judges were literally and figuratively 'beneath' the people (the people's representatives in the senate) – not above the people as the judges feel they are today.

Surely, the Supreme Court egos have expanded to fill the grandeur of the space allotted to them that rivals a Greek temple of the gods on Mt. Olympus. The judges act as if they have become our "gods".

Put yourself in Justice Blackmun's shoes for a moment and picture yourself one slow, marvelous step at a time ascending the white marble stairs of the Supreme Court building. By the time you get to the top, you are looking down on the U.S. Capitol grounds. Each marble step took Justice Blackmun higher and higher until the devil could enter him through his pride and cause him to feel that he was even above God and His Commandment, "Thou Shalt Not Kill"!

Six other judges in 1973, equally intoxicated with pride, signed on to Justice Blackmun's Roe v. Wade opinion (and it was only a human opinion). Two stalwart Supreme Court justices (Justice Rehnquist, who later became Chief Justice and Justice Byron White – an all American athlete before he went to law school and became a judge) sided with God's sixth Commandment, "Thou Shalt Not Kill", and vehemently dissented from the "opinion" of Justice Blackmun.

Now picture for a moment in 1973 (if Taft had not come along and lobbied for a separate building for the court) if the Supreme Court was still meeting and holding court in the Capitol building itself, would they have dared to

look up in the sky and pull down a woman's right (if she so chose) to murder the preborn child within her, her closest neighbor?

They would have known that to have handed down such an opinion from our nation's "house of laws" (were the court still meeting there in 1973) would have caused them to be castigated by a majority of the people's representatives.

Had they still been meeting in the old Senate chamber of the Capitol building, coming in to work by the same door as the congressmen and senators, and shaking their umbrellas out together, they would have known that many of these representatives would have accused them of legislating unconstitutionally. They would have taken them aside in the hallway of the Capitol building or in the Capitol cafeteria where everybody would meet, to say as much. Simply put, they would have been mixed in with and socialized with the representatives of 'we the people'. They could not have ignored the mailbags of mail against what they did. (Following Roe v. Wade in 1973, the court clerks had been ordered to put the many bags of ensuing mail in the basement unopened.)

But we made the mistake of giving them a temple. They socialize with each other in the court cafeteria, lunching with one another and the law clerks or having the chefs prepare meals that are delivered straight to their chambers. They knew they would get a pat on the back from all their liberal friends at the Washington cocktail parties. They are able to isolate themselves in their own building and set up their own frame of reference, ignoring the people's legislature across the street, appointing themselves as the true legislature that knows what's best for the people.

But no one can turn their back on God and not have their conscience convict them. Surely, the conscience of these 7 judges convicted each and every one of them in 1973 up until the time of their death awaiting the final and ultimate "judgment day". The conscience of the nation convicts us all of the travesty of Roe v. Wade to this day.

Roe v. Wade is such a nice sounding phrase. It's sort of like 'row, row, row your boat and get out and wade ashore". The devil always sugar coats things, but the devil is always obliged to leave his signature. The darkness of the devil's night came over the court in 1973 and the murder warrant was

signed by Justice **Black**mun. The soul of the God-fearing in the nation was rended (Justice **Rehn**quist and Justice **White** stood stalwartly for God's sixth Commandment Thou Shalt Not Kill). The good and evil of that Supreme Court decision will always be as plain as **Black**(mun) and **White**.

Someone once did a mathematical computation (and math is an exact science – have someone you know who is good with math check this) and they found that the probability of having two judges on the court in 1973, one with the word 'Black' in their surname and the other with the surname of 'White' was an astronomical number. That number is increased manifold in order for them to come down on the right and the wrong side of the decision. As I remember, the number was 1 in so many trillion – greater than the probabilities that allow us to use DNA in criminal prosecution. A cardinal once remarked that the devil has loved death and dying from the beginning but the **devil is always obliged to leave his signature**.

A WISH TO GO BACKWARDS IN TIME

Hindsight is always 20/20. Don't we wish we could go back to 1930 and have Congress turn down President Taft's request for a separate glorious building for what was now his Supreme Court? (He had been appointed as Chief Justice in 1921.)

The old Senate chamber in the U.S. Capitol building was fine. Legislative enactment of law and a Supreme Court to apply the law to specific cases was combined under one Capitol dome.

In light of what we know now, we never would have given them a giant marble temple that has gone to their heads and only inflated the egos of some of our Supreme Court justices to where they float into the court chamber scraping the ceiling like over-inflated Macy's Thanksgiving-Day-Parade balloons. If the courtroom lacked a roof, they would float right on up into the clouds - which is where many of them think they belong, looking down upon all of us little peons here on earth.

Giving the court a palace has turned judges into kings. Kings are the law and kings lord it over the people, and this is exactly what 'king' court has become: a despotic king.

Lincoln called ours a nation 'of the people, by the people, and for the people'. Judgments of the **PALACE** have turned us into 'a nation of, by and for the court'.

Thomas Jefferson saw this coming:

"The Constitution...is a mere thing of wax in the hands of the judiciary which they may twist and shape into any form they please. It has long been my opinion, and I have never shrunk from its expression...that the germ of dissolution of our federal government is in the constitution of the federal Judiciary; working like gravity by night and by day, gaining a little today and a little tomorrow and advancing its noiseless step like a thief, over the field of jurisprudence, until all shall be usurped." (Letter from Thomas Jefferson to C. Hammond, 18 August 1821)

"It is a very dangerous doctrine to consider the judges as the ultimate arbiters of all constitutional questions. It is one which would place us under the despotism of an oligarchy." (Letter to W.C. Jarvis 1820)

Can we reverse all of this? Is it too late? How can we reverse it?

The Constitution puts Supreme Court judges, appointed for life, pretty much beyond the reach of the people (the only exception being impeachment) but the building we provide the court is always up to the say so of the people (their elected representatives in the Congress). Wouldn't it be a dream if we could send them right back across the street to the original Supreme Court chamber on the ground floor of the Capitol?

When the Supreme Court was located in the U.S. Capitol building (a wise location - remember Chief Judge Marshall originally begged space on the 1st floor of the Capitol Building so they could park their stagecoach and no longer be made to ride the circuit to Philadelphia and New York), Marshall complained that it was too exhausting and he needed the people to come to them.

The space in the Capitol Building originally made available to them was an old file room on ground level, which was cleaned out and made available to the court. It is fully restored and children on high school trips tour it today.

It was located directly beneath the floor of the old Senate Chamber, which is a curious location because the court was meant to be beneath the people not above them. When the Senate outgrew its old chamber and moved to new diggings in the Capitol Building, the Supreme Court moved upstairs into the old Senate Chamber and they remained there until Taft successfully lobbied for a separate building for his court in 1930.

So long as the Supreme Court met in the Capitol Building, whether it was on the first floor or the second floor, they were coming in the same entrance together with the Congressmen and Senators in the morning. They all shook their umbrellas out together and greeted one another. Did we make a mistake giving them their own building?

If you share the House of Laws (the U.S. Capitol Building), you are reminded that the sole legislative authority is the Congress (Article 1, Section 1 of the Constitution). President Bush the younger was often heard to say "The Supreme Court needs to stop legislating."

Additionally, the Supreme Court **DOES NOT** have veto power over a law duly passed by the Congress and signed by the president. The court is simply to oversee and enforce the laws, not change or rewrite the laws as they did in 1973 by making what had been a felony (abortion) in all states and turning it into a constitutional 'right' by the time the sun came up the next morning (the horrendous travesty of Roe v. Wade!). The biggest 'crack in the Liberty Bell' taking the right to life and liberty away from preborn children.

Satan, who has loved death and dying from the beginning, had his way with the court and continues to have his way with the ongoing **MURDER** of precious preborn children created in the image of God (60 million in this nation and counting). And we wring our hands over the death of 200,000 from covid so far when death of the innocent from abortion is 300 times that number! (Three hundred times two hundred thousand equals sixty million)

THE SUPREME COURT RIDES A STAGECOACH

Once I purchased a small booklet put out by the Supreme Court Historical Society in the gift shop of the Court entitled "Equal Justice Under Law" These words appear up high on the front of the U.S. Supreme Court. Has the court lived up to this ideal? Ask the souls of the preborn children in heaven at the foot of God's throne.

In reading this little booklet about the court's history, I learned some very interesting things:

1. No provision was made by our forefathers to give the court its own building. The executive branch had its own building (the White House). The legislative branch had its building (the U.S. Capitol) but the Supreme Court - no building! Surely, it was not an oversight.

Our forefathers remembered the inflated egos of those powdered wig English justices bossing the citizenry around and hanging whomever they pleased before lunch (their mistress excepted). Perhaps our forefathers wanted to take their own High Court Justices down a peg. They made them ride the circuit in a stagecoach and beg for space over the market houses in Philadelphia and New York to hold their sessions. No air conditioning in those days and the flies came up from the meat and fish and vegetables for sale below in the open air Market.

There's a picture in this book put out by the Supreme Court Historical Society showing the judges of the Court having to help push the stagecoach out of the mud ruts. Can you see them doing that today? They would muddy their ermine white robes they trail along the marble halls of the temple the taxpayers gave them. They would have to lay their golden scepters down in the backseat of the stagecoach, along with their golden crowns and strip down to their long johns before putting their shoulder to the wheel.

2. John Marshall, chief judge of the court, who never finished high school (Unbelievable. It is not a requirement. It is not even a requirement to go to law school.), got himself a political appointment to the court. His distant cousin, Thomas Jefferson, was president. As Marshall was gritting his teeth shoving against a stagecoach wheel, his foot stuck in the mud, he must have resolved to get back at Jefferson. Marshall was jealous of Jefferson's education but he had a keen intellect and he used it successfully as a weapon against Jefferson. (In truth, the devil had a plan, using human jealousy.)

Marshall authored the famous Marbury v. Madison Case (1803) which made perhaps the **greatest power grab in history**. It was such a power grab that The Supreme Court became more powerful

than our Constitution itself, trumping the power of the Congress and the President put together.

Case in point, Congress passes the Defense of Marriage Act (marriage is to remain between one man and one woman), President Clinton signs it, making it law under the Constitution. (A law passed by the Congress and signed by the President becomes the law of the land. He alone has veto power.) Some members of the Supreme Court don't like the new law. They strike it down. See what they have done? They have cleverly crafted for themselves a veto power never conferred by the Constitution.

Five unelected men and women over-ruled 360 million people (a majority of their congressional representatives and a U.S. president). Do Supreme Court judges wear hats? How could they get their hats on with the swelled heads they have? And when they attend international judicial conferences in Europe, they don't need a plane. **They walk over.**

SNEAKY GRAB FOR THE BRASS RING OF POWER

Marbury v. Madison is the first case they teach you in Constitutional Law in law school. It stands for the proposition that the Supreme Court gets dibs on the POWER to INTERPRET the Constitution. (What a devilish device the word "interpret" is. The dictionary **should** define "interpret" as "a verb conferring a license to freely change around to suit oneself a constitution, civil or criminal law of society, or religious or moral teaching of humankind.) Give some of these "religious scholars" free reign to "interpret" Scripture and they will justify their favorite brand of sin every time and have God Himself condoning it.) When they lack the faith to believe that the Red Sea parted, they will take another look at the scripture; interpret it and tell you that "Red Sea" really means the "Sea of Reeds". And it was more likely that the wheels of Pharoah's chariots got mired in the mud, as a Yale theological graduate once told a Bible class. And yet those who do not believe that the Red Sea actually parted impugn the authority of Christ who referred to it.

Jefferson must have turned beet red when he read the Marbury v. Madison case. The Devil Himself could not have done something to upset Jefferson more. Here is a sample of what Jefferson had to say about this case that could be printed:

"If this case is allowed to stand, the Constitution...becomes a mere thing of wax in the hands of the judiciary which they may twist and shape into any form they please. It has long been my opinion, and I have never shrunk from its expression...that the germ of dissolution of our federal government is in the constitution of the federal Judiciary; working like gravity by night and by day, gaining a little today and a little tomorrow and advancing its noiseless step like a thief, over the field of jurisprudence, until all shall be usurped."

"The Constitution on which our Union rests, shall be administered by me according to the safe and honest meaning contemplated by the plain understanding of the people of the United States, at the time of its adoption." (Thomas Jefferson; quoted in Thomas Jefferson (Salt Lake City, Utah: Freeman Institute, American Classic Series, 1981). p.65)

Marshall had fired the fatal poison arrow that penetrated the armor of the Constitution that Jefferson had helped to put in place. It came in through a kink in the armor, the space right between the armor's plates directly over the heart of the Constitution. It was a little-noticed case at the time (something about a midnight appointment of a justice of the peace by an outgoing president) but Jefferson prophetically saw where it would lead.

"It is a very dangerous doctrine to consider the judges as the ultimate arbiters of all constitutional questions. It is one which would place us under the despotism of an oligarchy." -- Thomas Jefferson commenting on the Marbury v. Madison decision, U.S. Supreme Court in 1803 by which the court unconstitutionally seized unto itself power to 'interpret' the Constitution.

"The Constitution . . . meant that its coordinate branches should be checks on each other. But the opinion which gives to the judges the right to decide what laws are constitutional and what not, not only for themselves in their own sphere of action but for the Legislature and Executive also in their spheres, would make the Judiciary a despotic branch."—Thomas Jefferson to Abigail Adams, 1804. ME 11:51

Jefferson was right! The skeleton key of **INTERPRETATION** (and the word 'skeleton' is a good name for it has been used by Supreme Court judges with increasing boldness over the years, always pretending they are being faithful to the forefathers wishes) upset the constitutional applecart; took prayer out of the schools in 1963; taught the woman to kill the pre-born child within her in 1973 (Roe v Wade); struck down the Defense of Marriage Act passed by the Congress and signed by president Clinton, etc., etc.

Don't we see what has happened to us? The Supreme Court has decided that their power is greater than the power of the legislative and executive branches combined. Some Supreme Court Judges think they and they alone know best. They vetoed something as important to the nation as the Defense of Marriage Act (God instituted marriage in the Garden of Eden - no one ever dreamed it would be questioned someday).

A MOVE UP FROM A STAGECOACH THAT ROCKS AND SWAYS TO A DARK FILE ROOM THAT STANDS STILL

3. But back to the mischief of John Marshall who started it all. He griped and complained so badly to the Congress about being forced to ride the stagecoach to the people to save the people from coming to them in Washington that he finally persuaded the Congress to lend them a file room in the basement of the Capitol (It is restored today. It is the original Supreme Court chamber. Daniel Webster argued cases there.)

A WESTERN LAWYER COMES TO TOWN AND BECOMES A COURT HERO

The book from the Supreme Court Historical Society relates that a western lawyer came to town to argue a case before them in that file room and wanted to score points with the court. He gave out a statement to the press afterward that "Our auspicious Supreme Court judges should not be made to meet in a "potato hole". Well, their wives read that in the Washington papers and the husbands got their marching orders. "You are no husband of mine if you let yourself be treated like this."

Not too long after that, the Senate outgrew its old chamber and moved to larger diggings in the Capitol building. You guessed it! The wives told their husbands to skip breakfast that morning, run down there to the Capitol and get dibs on that old Senate chamber before somebody else did.

Curiously, their original space (an old file room) was directly beneath the old Senate chamber. The tour guide of the old Supreme Court chamber pointed out that if you cut a hole in its ceiling, one would come up into the old Senate chamber. So we see that our forefathers started the Supreme Court out on a stagecoach; next, to a dark room directly beneath the Senate. Someone had the wisdom to know what they were doing – they placed the court literally and figuratively "beneath" not "above" we the people (beneath the people's Senate chamber).

The Supreme Court history book shows pictures of their new meeting place. The old Senate chamber with its big windows instead of the little slit windows that were just enough to keep mold from forming in the old file room. They had American flags strewn in front of the bench, (looking like a parade stand in the Supreme Court Historical Society book photo) and that is where they stayed put until Taft decided they needed something bigger and better yet. It was good enough for the United States Senate, but the court wanted a Greek temple like something from Mt. Olympus from which to put the other two branches of government down under their heel and rule the nation. You can't rule a nation from a file room, you know. You just get to enforce the law, not make it. Remember, President Bush the younger was always saying "The Supreme Court needs to stop legislating."

School children visiting Washington on their class trips must look up with awe as they tour the Supreme Court Building and wait in line to take a seat in the courtroom as the Supreme Court judges file in, trailing their robes, as we all wait with bated breath for the next edict (lightning bolt) they will hurl from Mount Olympus.

The only reason for the fight that takes place in the Senate every time a then sitting president nominates a new judge is because people have figured out that the judges don't follow the Constitution or the law. Each side competes for a majority on the court so they can do what each side wants them to do. It's like a North-South High football game. Everybody sits on opposite sides of the stadium and beats each other up in the parking lot, depending on who wins and who loses. It makes no common sense. It's just mass hysteria.

THE NEED TO REIGN IN THE THIRD BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT

There is a need to rein in the judiciary in consonance with our forefather's plan of equal power in each of the 3 branches – legislative, executive and judicial, with no one branch being allowed to consolidate unto itself more power than the other two branches.

The Supreme Court will never reduce its own power. "Power corrupts. Absolute power corrupts absolutely" as Lord Acton said. We are going to have to do it for them if we want this pandemic over (and it will continue to bring us low until we are in sackcloth and ashes with our faces in the dust).

A line has been drawn in the sand. Those wishing to honor God and His laws and His Commandments and continue to live in this land of liberty blessed by Him (free from pandemics) must cross to one side of a line. Those who, like Pharaoh, remain stiff-necked and thwart God's will no matter what, will remain on the other side of the line. They will oppose those who wish to divest the court of its ill-gotten power and reverse the harm it has done to God's Laws and Commandments since the close of World War II.

THE DOOR TO THE FUTURE IS OPENING NOW. WE MUST PUT OUR FOOT IN THE DOOR BEFORE SATAN CLOSES IT. ABORTION MUST BE HALTED.

The Congress is hopelessly divided and not capable of divesting the Supreme Court of its snowballed, ill-gotten power. Those who wish to see our nation honor God's Commandments need to entreat the legislators of their states to do the simple thing. This pandemic is growing. People are dying. This is no time to split hairs. We need good, God-fearing, courageous leaders in our state legislatures willing to walk up to the speaker's podium and standing on the 9th and 10th amendments to the U.S. Constitution (state's rights), demand respect for God's higher law (**thou shalt not kill**) and introduce legislation in their state outlawing abortion. They can simply reinact the old criminal code for their state (prior to 1973) that made abortion a felony - then man's law is once again respecting God's law "THOU SHALT NOT KILL". The Commandments are the 'Law above the law" to which all human law must conform.

In the Roe v. Wade case, Justice Blackmun devilishly talked about the "uncertainty of when life begins". Forty seven years later, real time ultrasound has put windows on the womb. Expectant mothers joyously bring sonogram pictures home to show their husbands and post them on facebook for all their friends to see.

Life begins like everything else, at the beginning. We watch preborn children full of gaiety and grace turning somersaults, playing trampoline, sucking their thumb as God is knitting them together in the temple of their mother's womb. Awed by what we see, in reverential respect for God's Commandment, the states must begin to stand up when the Supreme Court is unwilling or, because of its political constituency, unable to stand up. At best the Court will only turn the decision back to the states. Why wait on the Court?

The conservative justices on the court will applaud such state action in defense of preborn children making it again a crime punishable by imprisonment to kill an innocent preborn child. Pro-abort justices will decry it. Planned Parenthood will be out of business in those states again criminalizing abortion because they and their doctors could go to jail if they fail to shut down their abortion mills. Let Planned Parenthood appeal such state action, and perhaps the newly constituted court will agree with that state, and hence, overturn Roe.

We must remember that the Supreme Court or any court relies for its authority on the respect of people. The only reason the states obeyed the Supreme Court in 1973 and decriminalized abortion was out of traditional respect for the court. Unwittingly, the American Holocaust was begun. The devil has loved death and dying from the beginning (the holocaust of 6 million innocent in WW II; the holocaust of 60 million innocents and counting since 1973).

Time is of the essence. "These are the times that try men's souls." (Thomas Paine) Our respective state legislatures should do this not because we are hoping and praying that the pandemic shadow of death will pass our state by but because it's the right thing to do.

In so doing we hope the good Lord will look favorably upon us as we pray to be delivered from this apocalyptic plague. In the past, we followed

the 'Liberals' as they sang the *Song of the Sirens* luring us to their version of Utopia and we have run into rocks - the **pandemonium of a pandemic**. It kills Liberally.

OUR NATION HAS GREATLY SINNED. We are individually and corporately the sinners. God still loves us, but presently with the love of a parent who punishes the child He loves for his own good. If He did not send the plague directly (Ex. 32:35), He has removed His providential hand (Rom. 1: 24-28). Either way, God is involved. He can stop it if and when he wants to. People would find themselves saying "We don't know what happened but this virus just sort of went away on its own."

For some time now, many have urged their Governors to use the legitimate principle of **INTERPOSITION**. A governor may place himself between the citizens of his State and the federal government (Supreme Court) in order to protect the lives and morality of his citizens. He has the power to immediately stop all abortion in his state.

If your governor is not exactly Captains Courageous, then you must go to your state legislature yourself, plague face mask and all, and demand that they make use of the ninth and tenth amendments to the United States Constitution (remember our forefathers were scared to death that a federal government would take away the rights of the states - the ninth and tenth amendments were meant to guarantee that that never happened). Our Constitution tells the government "NO"; not the people "no". Our Constitution is unique in the world in this respect. We are blessed to have it. LET'S USE IT! We need to use it to empower our state legislatures to put back on the books the good laws that outlawed abortion prior to 1973 (it was a felony in all states). The current president is not going to oppose such action of a state legislature.

COUNT YOUR DEAD AND DYING, AMERICA. Do you think yourselves more pure than the 60 million children you took from God? He cried! You are crying now, America! Will you remain stiff necked until 60 million of your brothers and sisters, mothers and fathers, are measured in the balance of the scales of God's justice? Does God's justice demand an equivalency, a life for a life so to speak?

Lincoln thought so. Standing on the U.S. Capitol steps after placing his hand on the Bible to take the oath of office for his second term, at the height of the Civil War, Abraham Lincoln had this to say:

"Fondly do we hope--fervently do we pray--that this mighty scourge of war may speedily pass away. Yet, if God wills that it continue until all the wealth piled by the bondsman's two hundred and fifty years of unrequited toil shall be sunk, and until every drop of blood drawn by the lash shall be paid by another drawn with the sword, as was said three thousand years ago, so still it must be said, "The judgments of the Lord are true and righteous altogether." (2nd inaugural speech – carved on the interior marble wall of the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC, for such a time as this for all to see.)

POLITICS HAS BEEN TRUMPED BY PLAGUE.

The President has said that we are at war against the plague. In time of war, we are neither Republican nor Democrat – we are all Americans. We unite against a common enemy and this war against an invisible enemy, equally deadly to civilians as well as the military, cannot be won without the King of Heaven's Armies on our side. In God's Holy Word He tells us how that may be done:

"If my people, which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land." (2 Chronicles 7:14)





EMMETT COLLECTION, NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY (ABOVE), AND RARE BOOKS, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

CUPOLA-CROWNED Royal Exchange in New York City housed the first meeting of the Supreme Court. Justices deliberated on the second floor of the gambrel-roofed hall. A brick arcade shades the ground floor, an open-air market where Broad and Water Streets intersect. During the first term the Judges appointed a court crier and a clerk, and admitted lawyers to the bar, but heard no cases. After two sessions here, the Court reconvened in Philadelphia, the national capital until 1800.

"UNCOMMONLY CROUDED," reports the New York Daily Advertiser (right) of the scene at the Supreme Court's scheduled opening; curious spectators had to wait until the next day to see the Court formally convened. Widely reprinted, such accounts described the "novel experiment of a National Judiciary" for readers throughout the states. Last paragraph cites new location of the federal court that moved out of the Exchange to make room for the Justices.

and reading. Adjourned.

St

mat

firm

An

tim

to

THE SUPREME COURT

Of the United States, convened yesterday in this city; but a sufficient number of the Judges not being present to form a quorum, the same was adjourned till this day one o'clock.

The Hon. John Jay, Chief Justice of the United States,

The Hon. William Cushing, and The Hon. James Wilson, Assistant Justices, appeared on the bench.

John M'Kesson, Esq. acted as Clerk, The Court Room at 'the Exchange was uncommonly crouded.—The Chief Justice and other Judges of the Supreme Court of this state; the Federal Judge for the District of New-York; the Mayor and Recorder of New-York; the Marshal of the district of New-York; the Sheriss, and many other officers, and a great number of the gentlemen of the bar attended on the occasion.

The Federal Court for the district of New-York will be opened this day, in the Consistory room opposite the Dutch Church in Garden-street,

25.

BC





BOSTON, Plymouth & Sandwich MAIL STAGE.

CONTINUES TO RUN AS FOLLOWS:

LEAVES Boston every Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday mornings LEAVES Boston every Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday mornings at 5 o'clock, breakfast at Leonard's, Scituate; dine at Bradford's, Plymouth; and arrive in Sandwich the same evening. Leaves Sandwich every Monday, Wednesday and Friday mornings; breakfast at Bradford's, Plymouth; dine at Leonard's, Scituate, and arrive in Boston the same evening.

Passing through Dorchester, Quincy, Wyemouth, Hingham, Scituate, Hanover, Pembroke, Duxbury, Kingston, Plymouth to Sandwich. Fare, from Boston to Scituate, I doll. 25 cts. From Boston to Plymouth, 2 dolls. 50 cts. From Boston to Sandwich, 3 dolls. 63 cts.

.N. B. Extra Carriages can be obtained of the proprietor's, at Boston and Plymouth, at sh.

BOSTON, Normber 24, 1810.

LEONARD & WOODWARD.

"CIRCUITS PRESS HARD on us all," moaned Chief Justice John Jay. A 1789 Act of Congress, requiring Supreme Court jurists to preside twice a year over circuit courts scattered throughout the Union, meant months of rugged travel.

Broadside (left) depicts one common mode of transportation. After jolting in a stagecoach many hours daily over savage roads of ruts and rocks or helping lift the stagecoach from quagmires of mud, the Justices passed restless nights in crowded way stations such as Fairview Inn on the Frederick road (above) near Baltimore, Maryland.

Battered and exhausted by the rigors of travel, Judges often arrived at the circuit courts too late or too sick to hold a session. Still, their visits served to acquaint the people with the new judiciary branch.



The Old Supreme Court Chamber (home of the Supreme Court, 1801–1860). Photograph by The Architect of the Capitol. (Architect of the Capitol)



The Old Senate Chamber (home of the Supreme Court, 1860–1935). (Collection of the Supreme Court of the United States)